

# On real hypersurfaces of type A in a space form (I)

著者	Pyo Yong-Soo
雑誌名	Tsukuba journal of mathematics
巻	18
号	2
ページ	483-492
発行年	1994-12
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2241/7005">http://hdl.handle.net/2241/7005</a>

## ON REAL HYPERSURFACES OF TYPE A IN A COMPLEX SPACE FORM (I)

By

Yong-Soo Pyo

### § 1. Introduction.

A complex  $n$ -dimensional Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  is called a *complex space form*, which is denoted by  $M_n(c)$ . A complete and simply connected complex space form consists of a complex projective space  $P_n\mathbb{C}$ , a complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or a complex hyperbolic space  $H_n\mathbb{C}$ , according as  $c > 0$ ,  $c = 0$  or  $c < 0$ .

Now, let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of an  $n$ -dimensional complex space form  $M_n(c)$ . Then  $M$  has an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  induced from the Kähler metric and the almost complex structure of  $M_n(c)$ . Okumura [7] and Montiel and Romero [6] proved the following

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $P_n\mathbb{C}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . If it satisfies*

$$(1.1) \quad A\phi - \phi A = 0,$$

*then  $M$  is locally a tube of radius  $r$  over one of the following Kähler submanifolds:*

(A<sub>1</sub>) *a hyperplane  $P_{n-1}\mathbb{C}$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/2$ ,*

(A<sub>2</sub>) *a totally geodesic  $P_k\mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ), where  $0 < r < \pi/2$ ,*

*where  $A$  is the shape operator in the direction of the unit normal  $C$  on  $M$ .*

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $H_n\mathbb{C}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . If it satisfies (1.1), then  $M$  is locally one of the following hypersurfaces:*

(A<sub>0</sub>) *a horosphere in  $H_n\mathbb{C}$ , i. e., a Montiel tube,*

(A<sub>1</sub>) *a tube of a totally geodesic hyperplane  $H_{n-1}\mathbb{C}$ ,*

(A<sub>2</sub>) *a tube of a totally geodesic  $H_k\mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ).*

On the other hand, the following theorem is proved by Maeda and Udagawa [4] under that the structure vector  $\xi$  is principal and then recently by Kimura

---

This research was partially supported by KOSEF (1992–1993).

Received February 1, 1993, revised March 15, 1993.

and Maeda [3] and Ki, Kim and Lee [1] without the above assumption.

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . If it satisfies*

$$(1.2) \quad \nabla_{\xi} A = 0, \quad g(A\xi, \xi) \neq 0,$$

*then  $M$  is locally of type A, where  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection on  $M$ .*

The purpose of this article is to prove the following generalized property of Theorem C.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . If it satisfies*

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla_{\xi} A = a(A\phi - \phi A), \quad 2a \neq -g(A\xi, \xi)$$

*for some non-zero constant  $a$ , then  $M$  is locally of type A.*

The author would like to thank Professors U-H. Ki and H. Nakagawa for his valuable suggestions and encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

## §2. Preliminaries.

First of all, we recall fundamental properties about real hypersurfaces of a complex space form. Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex  $n$ -dimensional complex space form  $M_n(c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$ , and let  $C$  be a unit normal vector field on a neighborhood in  $M$ . We denote by  $J$  the almost complex structure of  $M_n(c)$ . For a local vector field  $X$  on the neighborhood in  $M$ , the images of  $X$  and  $C$  under the linear transformation  $J$  can be represented as

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)C, \quad JC = -\xi,$$

where  $\phi$  defines a skew-symmetric transformation on the tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$ , while  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  denote a 1-form and a vector field on the neighborhood in  $M$ , respectively. Then it is seen that  $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X)$ , where  $g$  denotes the Riemannian metric tensor on  $M$  induced from the metric tensor on  $M_n(c)$ . The set of tensors  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called an *almost contact metric structure* on  $M$ . They satisfy the following properties:

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity transformation. Furthermore, the covariant derivatives of the structure tensors are given by

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX, \quad \nabla_X \phi(Y) = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection on  $M$  and  $A$  denotes the shape operator of  $M$  in the direction of  $C$ .

Since the ambient space is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$ , the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively obtained:

$$(2.2) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y \\ + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\} \\ + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla_X A(Y) - \nabla_Y A(X) = \frac{c}{4} \{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\},$$

where  $R$  denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $M$  and  $\nabla_X A$  denotes the covariant derivative of the shape operator  $A$  with respect to  $X$ .

Next, we suppose that the structure vector field  $\xi$  is principal with corresponding principal curvature  $\alpha$ . Then it is seen in [2] and [5] that  $\alpha$  is constant on  $M$  and it satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad A\phi A = \frac{c}{4}\phi + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(A\phi + \phi A).$$

### § 3. Proof of Theorem.

Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . In this section, we shall give a sufficient condition for the structure vector field  $\xi$  to be principal. First, we assume that  $\xi$  is principal, i.e.,  $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ , where  $\alpha$  is constant. Then, by (2.1) and (2.4), we get

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_X A(\xi) = -\frac{c}{4}\phi X - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(A\phi - \phi A)X,$$

from which together with (2.3) it follows that

$$\nabla_\xi A = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha(A\phi - \phi A).$$

Taking account of this property and the assumption of Theorems A and B, we shall assert the following

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . If it satisfies*

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla_\xi A = a(A\phi - \phi A)$$

for some non-zero constant  $a$ , then  $\xi$  is principal.

By the assumption (3.2) and (2.3), it turns out to be

$$\nabla_Y A(\xi) = a(A\phi - \phi A)Y - \frac{c}{4}\phi Y.$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to  $X$  covariantly and taking account of (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad \nabla_X \nabla_Y A(\xi) &= -\nabla_Y A(\phi AX) \\ &+ a \{ \nabla_X A(\phi Y) + g(Y, \xi) A^2 X - g(AX, Y) A\xi \\ &- g(AY, \xi) AX + g(AX, AY) \xi - \phi \nabla_X A(Y) \} \\ &- \frac{c}{4} \{ g(Y, \xi) AX - g(AX, Y) \xi \} \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ . Since the Ricci formula for the shape operator  $A$  is given by

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_X \nabla_Y A(Z) - \nabla_Y \nabla_X A(Z) = R(X, Y)(AZ) - A(R(X, Y)Z),$$

we obtain by (2.2), (2.3) and (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad \nabla_X A(\phi AY) - \nabla_Y A(\phi AX) &+ a \{ \nabla_X A(\phi Y) - \nabla_Y A(\phi X) \} \\ &= - \{ ag(Y, \xi) + g(AY, \xi) \} A^2 X + \{ ag(X, \xi) + g(AX, \xi) \} A^2 Y \\ &+ \{ ag(AY, \xi) + g(A^2 Y, \xi) \} AX - \{ ag(AX, \xi) + g(A^2 X, \xi) \} AY \\ &+ \frac{c}{4} [ \{ ag(Y, \xi) + g(AY, \xi) \} X - \{ ag(X, \xi) + g(AX, \xi) \} Y ] \\ &+ \frac{c}{4} \{ g(A\phi Y, \xi) \phi X - g(A\phi X, \xi) \phi Y \} - \frac{c}{2} g(\phi X, Y) \phi A\xi \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ .

Now, in order to prove the proposition, we shall express (3.5) with the simpler form. The inner product of (3.5) and  $\xi$ , combining with (2.3) and (3.2), implies

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad & ag((A\phi A\phi - \phi A\phi A)X, Y) \\ &+ a^2 \{ g(X, \xi) g(AY, \xi) - g(Y, \xi) g(AX, \xi) \} \\ &+ a \{ g(X, \xi) g(A^2 Y, \xi) - g(Y, \xi) g(A^2 X, \xi) \} \\ &+ 2 \{ g(AX, \xi) g(A^2 Y, \xi) - g(AY, \xi) g(A^2 X, \xi) \} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is any vector fields, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad & a(A\phi A\phi - \phi A\phi A)X + \{ag(X, \xi) + 2g(AX, \xi)\}A^2\xi \\
 & + \{a^2g(X, \xi) - 2g(A^2X, \xi)\}A\xi \\
 & - a\{ag(AX, \xi) + g(A^2X, \xi)\}\xi \\
 & = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for any vector field  $X$ . On the other hand, taking account of (2.1) and the skew-symmetry of the transformation  $\phi$ , we have

$$g((A\phi A\phi - \phi A\phi A)X, \phi X) = g(X, \xi)g(A\phi AX, \xi).$$

Putting  $Y = \phi X$  in (3.6) and applying the above property, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & ag(X, \xi)\{g(A\phi AX, \xi) + ag(A\phi X, \xi) + g(A^2\phi X, \xi)\} \\
 & + 2\{g(AX, \xi)g(A^2\phi X, \xi) - g(A\phi X, \xi)g(A^2X, \xi)\} \\
 & = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $T_0$  be a distribution defined by the subspace  $T_0(x) = \{u \in T_x M : g(u, \xi(x)) = 0\}$  of the tangent space  $T_x M$  of  $M$  at any point  $x$ , which is called the *holomorphic distribution*. For any vector field  $X$  belonging to  $T_0$ , (3.8) is simplified as

$$g(AX, \xi)g(A^2\phi X, \xi) - g(A\phi X, \xi)g(A^2X, \xi) = 0.$$

Furthermore, this equation holds for any vector field  $X$ . By polarization, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g(AX, \xi)g(A^2\phi Y, \xi) - g(A\phi X, \xi)g(A^2Y, \xi) \\
 & + g(AY, \xi)g(A^2\phi X, \xi) - g(A\phi Y, \xi)g(A^2X, \xi) \\
 & = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & g(AX, \xi)\phi A^2\xi + g(A\phi X, \xi)A^2\xi \\
 & - g(A^2\phi X, \xi)A\xi - g(A^2X, \xi)\phi A\xi \\
 & = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that the structure vector field  $\xi$  is not principal. Then we can put  $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$ , where  $U$  is a unit vector field in the holomorphic distribution  $T_0$ , and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are smooth functions on  $M$ . So we may consider that the function  $\beta$  does not vanish identically on  $M$ . Let  $M_0$  be the non-empty open subset of  $M$  consisting of points  $x$  at which  $\beta(x) \neq 0$ . And we put  $AU =$

$\beta\xi + \gamma U + \delta V$ , where  $U$  and  $V$  are orthonormal vector fields in the holomorphic distribution  $T_0$ , and  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are smooth functions on  $M_0$ .

First, we shall assert the following

LEMMA 3.2.

$$(3.10) \quad AU = \beta\xi + \gamma U \quad \text{on } M_0.$$

PROOF. By the forms  $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$  and  $AU = \beta\xi + \gamma U + \delta V$ , it turns out to be

$$A^2\xi = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\xi + \beta(\alpha + \gamma)U + \beta\delta V.$$

Thus we can rewrite (3.9) as

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{\alpha g(A^2\phi X, \xi) - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)g(A\phi X, \xi)\}\xi \\ & + \beta\{g(A^2\phi X, \xi) - (\alpha - \gamma)g(A\phi X, \xi)\}U - \beta\delta g(A\phi X, \xi)V \\ & + \beta\{g(A^2X, \xi) - (\alpha + \gamma)g(AX, \xi)\}\phi U - \beta\delta g(AX, \xi)\phi V \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any vector field  $X$ . The inner product of (3.11) and  $\phi U$  implies

$$g(A^2X, \xi) - (\alpha + \gamma)g(AX, \xi) - \delta g(A\phi X, \xi)g(V, \phi U) = 0.$$

Putting  $X = V$  in this equation and calculating directly, we have

$$\delta\{1 + g(V, \phi U)^2\} = 0.$$

Accordingly it turns out to be  $\delta = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Furthermore, by the above proof, we also get

$$(3.12) \quad A^2\xi = (\alpha + \gamma)A\xi, \quad \beta^2 = \alpha\gamma.$$

By polarization in (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ag(X, \xi)\{g(A\phi AY, \xi) + ag(A\phi Y, \xi) + g(A^2\phi Y, \xi)\} \\ & + ag(Y, \xi)\{g(A\phi AX, \xi) + ag(A\phi X, \xi) + g(A^2\phi X, \xi)\} \\ & + 2\{g(AX, \xi)g(A^2\phi Y, \xi) - g(A\phi X, \xi)g(A^2Y, \xi)\} \\ & + 2\{g(AY, \xi)g(A^2\phi X, \xi) - g(A\phi Y, \xi)g(A^2X, \xi)\} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $Y = \xi$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned}
& a \{g(A\phi AX, \xi) + ag(A\phi X, \xi) + g(A^2\phi X, \xi)\} \\
& + 2\{g(A\xi, \xi)g(A^2\phi X, \xi) - g(A\phi X, \xi)g(A^2\xi, \xi)\} \\
& = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for any vector field  $X$  because  $A\phi A\xi$  is orthogonal to  $\xi$ . Consequently

$$aA\phi A\xi + (a + 2\alpha)\phi A^2\xi + (a^2 - 2\alpha^2 - 2\beta^2)\phi A\xi = 0.$$

By (3.12), we get

$$(3.13) \quad A\phi U + \lambda\phi U = 0, \quad \lambda = a + \alpha + \gamma.$$

We remark here that the property  $a \neq 0$  is essential to derive the above first equation.

Next, we give the following

LEMMA 3.3. Assume that  $A^2\xi + kA\xi = 0$ , where  $k$  is constant. Then it satisfies

$$(3.14) \quad a\lambda^2 + \left(4a\gamma - 2k\gamma + \frac{c}{4}\right)\lambda - a^2\gamma - \frac{c}{4}(2k + 2\alpha + \gamma) = 0 \quad \text{on } M_0.$$

PROOF. Differentiating our assumption  $A^2\xi + kA\xi = 0$  with respect to  $X$  and taking account of (2.1), (2.3) and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_X A(A\xi) + aA(A\phi - \phi A)X + ak(A\phi - \phi A)X \\
& + A^2\phi AX + kA\phi AX - \frac{c}{4}A\phi X - \frac{c}{4}k\phi X \\
& = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for any vector field  $X$ . The inner product of this equation with any vector field  $Y$  implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(\nabla_X A(Y), A\xi) + ag(A(A\phi - \phi A)X, Y) + ak g((A\phi - \phi A)X, Y) \\
& + g(A^2\phi AX, Y) + kg(A\phi AX, Y) = \frac{c}{4}g(A\phi X, Y) - \frac{c}{4}kg(\phi X, Y) \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Exchanging  $X$  and  $Y$  in the above equation and substituting the second one from the first one, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(\nabla_X A(Y) - \nabla_Y A(X), A\xi) + ag((A^2\phi - 2A\phi A + \phi A^2)X, Y) \\
& + g((A^2\phi A + A\phi A^2)X, Y) + 2kg(A\phi AX, Y) \\
& - \frac{c}{4}g((A\phi + \phi A)X, Y) - \frac{c}{2}kg(\phi X, Y) \\
& = 0
\end{aligned}$$



for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ . Putting  $X=U$  and  $Y=\phi U$  in this equation and taking account of (3.10), (3.12) and (3.13), we can easily show the equation (3.14).  $\square$

Now, we are in position to prove Proposition 3.1.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1. By the form  $A\xi=\alpha\xi+\beta U$  and (2.1), we have

$$\nabla_{\xi}A(\xi)=d\alpha(\xi)\xi+\alpha\beta\phi U+d\beta(\xi)U-\beta A\phi U+\beta\nabla_{\xi}U.$$

This, combining with the assumption (3.2), implies

$$d\alpha(\xi)\xi+d\beta(\xi)U+\beta(a+\alpha)\phi U-\beta A\phi U+\beta\nabla_{\xi}U=0.$$

From the inner product of  $\xi$  and  $U$  respectively, we get  $d\alpha(\xi)=0$  and  $d\beta(\xi)=0$ , where we have used that  $g(\nabla_{\xi}U, \xi)=0$ ,  $g(A\phi U, \xi)=0$  and  $g(A\phi U, U)=0$ . Hence

$$(3.15) \quad (a+\alpha)\phi U-A\phi U+\nabla_{\xi}U=0.$$

By (3.13) and the above equation, we find

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_{\xi}U=-(2a+2\alpha+\gamma)\phi U, \\ d\alpha(\xi)=0, \quad d\beta(\xi)=0. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, by making use of (3.2) and (3.10),  $\gamma=g(AU, U)$  gives us to

$$(3.17) \quad d\gamma(\xi)=0.$$

Furthermore, from (3.13) and (3.16), we get  $d\lambda(\xi)=0$ . Differentiating (3.13) with respect to  $\xi$  covariantly and taking account of (2.1) and the above property, we get

$$\nabla_{\xi}A(\phi U)-g(AU, \xi)A\xi+A\phi(\nabla_{\xi}U)+\lambda\{-g(AU, \xi)\xi+\phi\nabla_{\xi}U\}=0.$$

By (3.2), (3.12), (3.13) and the first equation of (3.16), the above equation gives the following

$$(3.18) \quad a+\alpha+\gamma=0 \quad \text{or} \quad a+2\alpha+2\gamma=0.$$

Since  $a \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha+\gamma \neq 0$  by the above equation.

Now, we consider the first case  $a+\alpha+\gamma=0$  of (3.18). By (3.13) and (3.15), we get

$$(3.19) \quad A\phi U=0, \quad \nabla_{\xi}U=\gamma\phi U.$$

By (2.1), we have  $\nabla_U\xi=\phi AU=\gamma\phi U$ . This implies  $[\xi, U]=0$  by the second equation of (3.19). On the other hand, by (2.1), (3.10) and (3.17), we get

$$\nabla_U \nabla_\xi \xi = d\beta(U)\phi U - \beta\gamma\xi + \beta\phi\nabla_U U,$$

$$\nabla_\xi \nabla_U \xi = -\beta\gamma\xi - \gamma^2 U.$$

Accordingly, by the Riemannian curvature tensor  $R(\xi, U)\xi$  and (2.2), we have

$$\left(\frac{c}{4} - \gamma^2\right)U - d\beta(U)\phi U - \beta\phi\nabla_U U = 0,$$

where we have used (3.12). The inner product of the above equation and  $\phi U$  yields  $d\beta(U)=0$ . Thus

$$\left(\frac{c}{4} - \gamma^2\right)U - \beta\phi\nabla_U U = 0,$$

from which we get

$$(3.20) \quad \beta\nabla_U U = \left(\gamma^2 - \frac{c}{4}\right)\phi U, \quad d\beta(U)=0.$$

Differentiating  $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$  with respect to any vector field  $X$  covariantly and taking account of (3.2), we get

$$a(A\phi - \phi A)X - \frac{c}{4}\phi X + A\phi AX - d\alpha(X)\xi - \alpha\phi AX - d\beta(X)U - \beta\nabla_X U = 0.$$

By taking the inner product of this equation with  $\xi$  and  $U$  respectively, we get

$$(3.21) \quad d\alpha(X) = a\beta g(\phi X, U),$$

$$(3.22) \quad d\beta(X) = \left(a\gamma - \frac{c}{4}\right)g(\phi X, U),$$

where we have used (3.10) and the first equation of (3.19). Because of  $\beta^2 = \alpha\gamma$ , it is easily seen that

$$2\beta d\beta(X) = \gamma d\alpha(X) + \alpha d\gamma(X),$$

from which together with (3.21) and (3.22) it turns out to be

$$2\left(a\gamma - \frac{c}{4}\right)g(\phi X, U) = a(\gamma - \alpha)g(\phi X, U)$$

for any vector field  $X$ . This implies  $2a^2 + c = 0$ . Hence, by (3.14), we get  $\gamma = 0$ , where we have used that  $\lambda = a + \alpha + \gamma = 0$  and  $k = a$ . Thus we have  $\beta = 0$  by (3.12), a contradiction.

Lastly, we suppose that  $a + 2\alpha + 2\gamma = 0$ .

On the other hand, putting  $X = \xi$  and  $Y = U$  in (3.5) and from the inner product of  $\xi$  and  $U$  respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \beta g(\phi\nabla_U U, U) = (a + \gamma)(a + \alpha + \gamma) + \gamma(a + \alpha) + \frac{c}{4}, \\ \beta(a + \alpha + 2\gamma)g(\phi\nabla_U U, U) = a(a + 2\gamma)(a + \alpha + \gamma) + \gamma^2(a + \alpha) - \frac{c}{4}(a + \alpha), \end{cases}$$

where we have used (3.2), (3.10), (3.12), (3.13), (3.16) and (3.17). Combining of the above two equations, we get

$$(a + \alpha + \gamma) \left( a\alpha + 2a\gamma + 2\alpha\gamma + 2\gamma^2 + \frac{c}{2} \right) = 0.$$

By our assumption, we have  $a^2 = c$ . Therefore, by (3.14), we obtain  $\alpha = 0$ , where we have used that  $a + 2\alpha + 2\gamma = 0$  and  $k = \lambda = a/2$ . Hence  $\beta = 0$ , a contradiction.

These mean that the subset  $M_0$  is empty and hence the structure vector field  $\xi$  is principal.  $\square$

REMARK. The equation (3.2) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi(h + ag) = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_\xi$  is the Lie derivative with respect to  $\xi$  and  $h(X, Y) = g(AX, Y)$  for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ .

The main theorem is proved by Proposition 3.1, the remark stated first in this section and Theorems A and B.

### References

- [1] U.-H. Ki, S.-J. Kim and S.-B. Lee, Some characterizations of a real hypersurfaces of type A, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 31 (1991), 73-82.
- [2] U.-H. Ki and Y.J. Suh, On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, *Math. J. Okayama* 32 (1990), 207-221.
- [3] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space II, *Tsukuba J. Math.* 15 (1991), 547-561.
- [4] S. Maeda and S. Udagawa, Real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space in term of holomorphic distribution, *Tsukuba J. Math.* 14 (1990), 39-52.
- [5] Y. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 28 (1976), 529-540.
- [6] S. Montiel and A. Romero, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, *Geometriae Dedicata* 20 (1986), 245-261.
- [7] M. Okumura, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 212 (1975), 355-364.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
350 Ibaraki, Japan

Department of Applied Mathematics  
National Fisheries University of Pusan  
Pusan 608-737, Korea